

BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

In the Matter of:

Case No.: P22-042

Kathryn Mills P.E. (Civil)

CONSENT AGREEMENT and ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

Registration No. 31118

Respondent

In the interest of a prompt and judicious resolution of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements, and the responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101 et seq., and A.A.C. R4-30-120(G), the undersigned party, Kathryn Mills ("Respondent"), holder of Registration No. 31118, and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.
- 2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning this case. He further acknowledges that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waives his right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.

- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against him.
- 5. The Consent Agreement, any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board and all related exhibits and materials, are public records upon acceptance by the Board of this Consent Agreement and may be retained in the Board's files pertaining to this matter.
- 6. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board case number P22-042 involving allegations that Respondent engaged in conduct that would subject him to discipline under the Board's statutes and rules. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 7. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 8. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 9. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, he may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.
- 10. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective only when accepted by the Board and signed on behalf of the Board. If the Board does not accept this Consent Agreement, the Board retains its authority to hold a

formal administrative hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(E). In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.

- 11. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 12. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement may result in disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of the registration under A.R.S. § 32-150.
- 13. Respondent agrees that the Board will adopt the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of Professional Engineering in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of Arizona Professional Engineer (Civil) Registration No. 31118.
- 3. On October 5, 2018, Respondent and Alleger entered into an agreement for Respondent to perform septic system testing, design and permitting. Alleger made payments totaling \$2,500 for the agreed upon professional engineering services.
- 4. On December 16, 2021, the Board received a complaint from Alleger in which it was alleged that Respondent prepared and sealed a site investigation report that falsely reported that the site could support a conventional septic system at Alleger's property. It was further alleged that Respondent was dishonest with her client regarding plan review submittals, failed to submit a design for an alternative septic system, prepared and sealed a site plan placing the wastewater system less than 50 feet from the

property line, and then abandoned the project after being paid \$2,500.

- 5. On October 12, 2018, Respondent specifically certified on a site investigation report form that she "performed this site investigation in accordance with R1-8-9-A3 10 and have completed this investigation to the best of my knowledge." Respondent later admitted not being actually involved in the site investigation as it had been delegated to a subordinate soil technician, not qualified to conduct site investigations in violation of R1-8-9-A3 10 of Arizona Administrative Code.
- 6. On October 12, 2018, Respondent specifically stated on a site investigation report that "please note that the soil profile is suitable for a conventional septic system." Respondent later indicated that "an alternative treatment system with drip emitters will likely be required." A Professional Engineer, who actually did a site visit on September 30, 2021 and conducted a site investigation, concluded that an alternative septic system rather than a conventional septic was required "due to the lack of usable soil at the site." Respondent's plans also did not include the required fifty feet setback as required by A.A.C. R18-9-A312.
- 7. On May 4, 2022, an Enforcement Advisory Committee (EAC) was convened to consider and discuss case information to include conducting interviews. The EAC members substantiated allegations specific to technical knowledge and skill as well as regarding the lack of compliance with state regulations.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq.
- 2. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-301(6), in that Respondent failed to apply the appropriate technical knowledge and skill in the practice of a Board regulated profession.
- 3. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-301(4), in that Respondent failed to comply with state, municipal, and county laws, codes, ordinances,

10 11

13 14

15 16

> 17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

and regulations pertaining to the registrant's area of practice.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board issues the following Order:

- LETTER OF REPRIMAND. Respondent is hereby issued a Letter of Reprimand.
- STAYED REVOCATION AND PROBATION. Respondent's registration 2. as Professional Engineer (Civil), No. 31118, shall be revoked for twelve months; however, the revocation is stayed for as long as Respondent remains in compliance with this Order. During the stay of revocation, Respondent's registration as a Professional Engineer (Civil), No. 31118 is placed on probation for twelve months. If Respondent is non-compliant with any terms of this Order during the twelve months stayed revocation and probation period, the stay of the revocation shall be lifted and Respondent's registration as a Professional Engineer (Civil), No. 31118 shall be automatically revoked without a formal hearing.
- RESTITUTION. Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay restitution to the client, Katherine Browne, in the amount of Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00) for the cost of the civil engineering fees related to case P22-042. Respondent shall provide proof of payment made to the client, such as a copy of the check, to the Board of Technical Registration showing payment was made to the client within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Consent Agreement.
- ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY. Within sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay an administrative penalty of Two Thousand (\$2,000.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration.
 - 5. COST OF INVESTIGATION. Within thirty (30) days from the effective date

of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay the cost of investigation of this case to the Board in the amount of One Thousand Ninety Six Dollars (\$1,096.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration, according to the provisions of A.R.S. § 32-128(H).

- 6. OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, as well as, all rules governing the practice of Engineering in the State of Arizona. The Board shall consider any violation of this paragraph to be a separate violation of the rules and statues governing the Arizona Board of Technical Registration. The Board may also consider Respondent's non-compliance with this Order as a separate violation of A.R.S. § 32-150.
- 7. RENEWAL OF REGISTRATION. Respondent and Respondent Firm shall timely renew her Arizona registration as an Professional Engineer.
- 8. EFFECTIVE DATE. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the date the Respondent and Board sign the Consent Agreement. If the dates are different, the effective date is the later of the two dates.
- 9. COSTS OF COMPLIANCE. Respondent shall pay all costs associated with complying with this Consent Agreement.
- 10. NONCOMPLIANCE. If Respondent violates this Order in any way or fails to fulfill the requirements of this Order, the Board, after giving notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke, suspend or take other disciplinary actions against the registration. The issue at such a hearing will be limited solely to whether this Order has been violated.

ack Gilmore, L.A., Chairmar Arizona State Board of

Tecknical Registration

_	Quine , 2022.
2	,
3	fate I Mill
4	Kathryn Mills, Respondent
5	ORIGINAL filed this 24 day of
6	<u>ine</u> , 2022, with:
7	Arizona State Board of Technical Registration
8	1110 W. Washington, Suite 240
9	Phoenix, AZ 85007
10	COPY of the foregoing mailed via Certified Mail
11	No. 9214 8901 9434 4600 0879 62 and First Class mail this 24 day of
12	First Class mail this 24 day of 100e, 2022, to:
	Kathryn Mills
13	Mills Engineering
14	P.O. Box 93392 Phoenix, AZ. 85070
15	1 HOCHA, AZ., 63070
16	
17	
18	By:
19	OM Doeling BTR Investigation
20	
21	
22	
l,	
23	