BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

3	In the Matter of:	Case No.: HI22-017
4	Joseph Destito	}
5	Certified Home Inspector Certification No. 65045	CONSENT AGREEMENT
6		ORDER OF DISCIPLINE
7	Old Pueblo Home Inspections Firm Registration No. 24213	}
8	110gisti ation 1(0, 24213	
-	Resnandants	

In the interest of a prompt and judicious resolution of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements, and the responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101 et seq., and A.A.C. R4-30-120(G), the undersigned party, Joseph Destito ("Respondent"), holder of Certification No. 65045, and Old Pueblo Home Inspections ("Respondent Firm"), holder of Registration No. 24213 and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.
- 2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning this case. He further acknowledges that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waives his right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action concerning the matters set forth herein.

- 3. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.
- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against him.
- 5. The Consent Agreement, any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board and all related exhibits and materials, are public records upon acceptance by the Board of this Consent Agreement and may be retained in the Board's files pertaining to this matter.
- 6. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board case number HI22-017 involving allegations that Respondent engaged in conduct that would subject him to discipline under the Board's statutes and rules. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 7. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 8. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 9. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, he may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.

- 10. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective only when accepted by the Board and signed on behalf of the Board. If the Board does not accept this Consent Agreement, the Board retains its authority to hold a formal administrative hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(E). In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.
- 11. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 12. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement may result in disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of the registration under A.R.S. § 32-150.
- 13. Respondent agrees that the Board will adopt the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of Home Inspections in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of Arizona Certified Home Inspector Certification No. 65045 and is the principal of Respondent Firm.
- 3. According to the Arizona Corporation Commission, Respondent Firm was originally incorporated on or about February 9, 2016; however, Respondent Firm was not registered with the Board.
- 4. On or about August 16, 2022, Respondent Firm became registered with the Board and issued Registration No. 24213.
 - 5. Respondent conducted a home inspection in Green Valley, Arizona for

Alleger on or about October 12, 2021.

- 6. On January 2, 2022, a complaint was received by the Board regarding the October 12, 2021 home inspection in Green Valley, Arizona, alleging Respondent failed to report on the adverse condition of the roof.
- 7. Board records confirm that during the October 12, 2021 home inspection, Respondent's Home Inspector certification No. 65045 had expired on August 25, 2021 and was not renewed until December 7, 2021.
- 8. Board records confirm that during the October 12, 2021 home inspection, Respondent Firm was not registered with the Board as required. Respondent Firm's initial registration became effective on August 16, 2022.
- 9. On October 12, 2021, the day Respondent conducted the home inspection, Respondent also submitted a proposal for home repairs, under Respondent's other business, which outlined a total of seven issues identified during the home inspection for an amount totaling \$2,565.
- 10. On October 12, 2022, an Enforcement Advisory Committee (EAC) meeting was convened to consider the matter. After reviewing the evidence and interviewing Respondent, the Committee determined that Respondent failed to conduct a home inspection in accordance with the Standards of Professional Practice for Arizona Home Inspectors ("S.O.P") and found that:
 - a) Respondent failed to describe the type and condition of columns as required in S.O.P. #4.2(A)(4).
 - b) Respondent failed to report on vegetation as required in S.O.P. #5.1(F)
 - c) Respondent failed to report on condition of grading and drainage as required in S.O.P. #5.1(F)
 - d) Respondent failed to describe the type and condition of roof coverings as required in S.O.P. #6.2(A)

- e) Respondent failed to report on condition of gutters as required in S.O.P. #6.1(B)
- f) Respondent failed to describe method used to observe the roof as required in S.O.P. #6.2(B)
- g) Respondent failed to describe the type and condition of distribution piping as required in S.O.P. #7.2(A)(2)
- h) Respondent failed to report on the condition of supports and insulation as required in S.O.P. #7.1(A)(1)
- i) Respondent failed to describe the type and condition of waste and vent piping system as required in S.O.P. #7.2(A)(2)
- j) Respondent failed to describe the service type as being overhead or underground (gas meter vent is located under electric panel) as required in S.O.P. #8.2(A)(3)
- k) Respondent failed to describe service entry conductor materials as required in S.O.P. #8.2(A)(2)
- Respondent failed to report on condition of branch circuit conductors as required in S.O.P. #8.1(D)
- m) Respondent failed to describe the condition and operation of thermostat operating controls as required in S.O.P. #9.2(A)(2)(B)
- n) Respondent failed to report on condition of automatic safety controls as required in S.O.P. #9.1(A)(3)
- o) Respondent failed to describe type and condition of distribution system as required in S.O.P. #9.2(A)(2)
- p) Respondent failed to report on the condition of air filters as required in S.O.P. #9.1(A)(6)
- q) Respondent failed to report the inability to access the attic and describe type and condition of visible insulation as required in S.O.P. #12.2(A)

- r) Respondent failed to report the inability to access the attic and describe type and condition of vapor retarder as required in S.O.P. #12.2(A)
- s) Respondent failed to report the inability to access the attic and report on the condition of attic ventilation as required in S.O.P. #12.1(B
- t) Respondent failed to report on condition of laundry ventilation as required in S.O.P. #12.1(C)
- 11. During the October 12, 2022, the EAC Committee further determined that Respondent offered to perform repairs to the property that was inspected by Respondent within a 24 month period.
- 12. On October 12, 2022, Respondent admitted to the allegations regarding offering to perform repairs to Alleger's property and the failure to perform a home inspection in accordance with the Standards of Professional Practice for Arizona Home Inspectors.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq.
- 2. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to R4-30-301.0.1., in that Respondent failed to conduct a Home Inspection in accordance with the Standards of Professional Practice for Arizona Home Inspectors.
- 3. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-127(D) in that Respondent engaged in the practice of a Board regulated profession while his registration was expired and in a delinquent status.
- 4. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-121, A.R.S. 32-141 and A.R.S. 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-301(4) in that Respondent practiced or offered to practice a Board regulated profession without the required firm registration.
 - 5. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline

pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to R4-30-301.01(B)(3) in that Respondent offered to perform repairs to the property that was inspected by Respondent within a 24 month period.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board issues the following Order:

- 1. LETTER OF REPRIMAND. Respondent is hereby issued a Letter of Reprimand.
- 2. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY. Within twelve (12) months from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay an administrative penalty of Two Thousand Dollars (2,000.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration.
- 3. COST OF INVESTIGATION. Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay the cost of investigation of this case to the Board in the amount of Seven Hundred Thirty-Nine Dollars (\$739.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration, according to the provisions of A.R.S. § 32-128(H).
- 4. OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, as well as, all rules governing the practice of Home Inspections in the State of Arizona. The Board shall consider any violation of this paragraph to be a separate violation of the rules and statues governing the Arizona Board of Technical Registration. The Board may also consider Respondent's non-compliance with this Order as a separate violation of A.R.S. § 32-150.
- 5. RENEWAL OF REGISTRATION. Respondent and Respondent Firm shall timely renew their Arizona registration as a Home Inspector and a Home Inspector Firm, and timely pay all required registration fees.
- 6. EFFECTIVE DATE. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the date the Respondent and Board sign the Consent Agreement. If the dates are different, the

COPY of the foregoing mailed via Certified Mail