# BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

In the Matter of:

Nathan Layton Professional Engineer (Environmental) Registration No. 45690

### Case No.: P22-055

CONSENT AGREEMENT and ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

In the interest of a prompt and judicious resolution of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements, and the responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101 <u>et seq.</u>, and A.A.C. R4-30-120(G), the undersigned party, Nathan Layton ("Respondent"), holder of Registration No. 45690, and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

Respondent

# **RECITALS**

1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.

2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning this case. He further acknowledges that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waives his right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action concerning the matters set forth herein.

3. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.

4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against him.

5. The Consent Agreement, any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board and all related exhibits and materials, are public records upon acceptance by the Board of this Consent Agreement and may be retained in the Board's files pertaining to this matter.

6. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board case number P22-055 involving allegations that Respondent engaged in conduct that would subject him to discipline under the Board's statutes and rules. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.

7. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.

8. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.

9. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent
Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, he may not
revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the
document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed on behalf of the
Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless
mutually agreed by the parties in writing.

10. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is
effective only when accepted by the Board and signed on behalf of the Board. If the
Board does not accept this Consent Agreement, the Board retains its authority to hold a

formal administrative hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(E). In the event that the
Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no
evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party,
except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this
case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced
by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.

11. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this ConsentAgreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreementshall remain in full force and effect.

12. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement may result in disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of the registration under A.R.S. § 32-150.

13. Respondent agrees that the Board will adopt the following Findings of Fact,Conclusions of Law and Order.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of Professional Engineering in the State of Arizona.

2. Respondent is the holder of Arizona Professional Engineer (Environmental) Registration No. 45690.

3. On or about March 3, 2021, Respondent entered into a contract with a client to provide grading and drainage plans for a project in Scottsdale, Arizona.

4. On or about April 15, 2021, Respondent affixed his professional seal to a set of grading and drainage plans, prepared by his bona fide employee, for a project in Scottsdale, Arizona, and provided them to his client.

5. On or about March 17, 2022, the Board received a complaint alleging that Respondent, after contracting with, and being paid \$2,777.65 by the client, in or around March of, 2021, failed to deliver a usable set of grading and drainage plans to the client and abandoned the project.

1	6. On or a	about September 29, 2022, an Enforcement Advisory Committee
2	Meeting ("EAC") o	convened to review the complaint against Respondent.
3	A. Durir	ng his interview, Respondent attested to the following:
4	I.	Respondent acknowledged that grading and drainage plan
5		preparation is a Civil Engineering service. Respondent further
6		acknowledged that his sole registration with the Board is for
7		Environmental Engineering. Respondent indicated that his formal
8		education was specific to Environmental Engineering but asserted
9		that he has sufficient work experience with Civil Engineering to be
10		proficient in residential grading and drainage plan preparation.
11	II.	Respondent said he conducted the initial field work himself, and
12		acknowledged that he knew the home was in a floodplain.
13		Respondent said he did not, however, know that the county would
14		require an elevation certificate for permitting a project in that
15		location.
16	III.	Respondent acknowledged that he reviewed the initial grading and
17		drainage plans for the project, prepared by his bona fide employee,
18		and affixed his professional seal to them.
19	IV.	Respondent indicated that he had not reviewed or affixed his seal to
20		any of the grading and drainage plan revisions; one of which
21		contained Respondent's professional seal.
22	V.	Respondent said the work his employee performed for the grading
23		and drainage plan revisions included numerous flaws and services,
24		which were outside the established scope of work. Respondent
25		acknowledged, however, that he was responsible for not adequately
26		supervising his employee.
27	VI.	Respondent acknowledged that his employee had a known prior
28		work history in which he repeatedly failed to submit work for review

1	and exceeded established scopes of work.
2	B. At the conclusion of the EAC meeting, the EAC Committee determined the
3	following:
4	I. The Committee found that Respondent failed to apply the
5	appropriate technical knowledge and skill for the project. The
6	Committee opined that, although the initial grading and drainage
7	plans were of sound basic design, they contained multiple technical
8	errors, which represented a lack of applied appropriate technical
9	skill. The Committee further opined that Respondent not being
10	aware of the county's requirement of an Elevation Certificate for the
11	project location represented a lack of applied appropriate technical
12	knowledge.
13	II. The Committee found that Respondent accepted an engagement
14	outside of his registered engineering branch without the necessary
15	qualifications. The Committee opined that, although Respondent
16	indicted that he has work experience in Civil Engineering, his lack
17	of formal Civil Engineering education, and the technical errors
18	found in the initial grading and drainage plans, indicate that
19	Respondent does not have the necessary qualifications for Civil
20	Engineering.
21	III. The Committee found that Respondent failed to provide responsible
22	charge over his firm, and is responsible for any violations of Board
23	statures and/or rules committed by his non-registrant employee. The
24	Committee opined that Respondent's failure to adequately supervise
25	his employee lead to the overall failure of the project. The
26	Committee noted that Respondent admittedly knew of his
27	employee's negative work history, yet declined to periodically check
28	his employee's work or track his employee's progress beyond the

Π

preparation of the initial plans as would be reasonably expected.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq.
 The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-301(6), in that Respondent failed to apply the appropriate technical knowledge and skill in the practice of a Board regulated profession.

3. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-301(18), in that Respondent accepted a professional engagement or assignment outside of his registration engineering branch without the education, technical knowledge, or experience to perform such engagement or assignment.

4. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) as it relates to A.A.C. R4-30-301(20), in that Respondent failed to provide responsible charge over his firm, and is responsible for any violations of Board statutes and/or rules committed by his non-registrant employee.

# <u>ORDER</u>

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board issues the following Order:

1. LETTER OF REPRIMAND. Respondent is hereby issued a Letter of Reprimand.

2. STAYED SUSPENSION AND PROBATION. Respondent's registration as a Professional Engineer (Environmental), No. 45690, shall be suspended for Six (6) months; however, the suspension is stayed for as long as Respondent remains in compliance with this Order. During the stay of suspension, Respondent's registration as a Professional Engineer (Environmental) is placed on probation for Six (6) months. If Respondent is non-compliant with any terms of this Order during the Six (6) months stayed suspension and probation period, the stay of the suspension shall be lifted and

1

Respondent's registration as a Professional Engineer (Environmental) shall be 2 automatically suspended without a formal hearing, and remain suspended until 3 Respondent is compliant with all terms of this Order.

3. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY. Within Six (6) months from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay an administrative penalty of Two Thousand Three Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$2,350.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration.

4. COST OF INVESTIGATION. Within Three (3) months from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall pay the cost of investigation of this case to the Board in the amount of Seven Hundred and Twenty Six Dollars (\$726.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration, according to the provisions of A.R.S. § 32-128(H).

5. OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, as well as, all rules governing the practice of Engineering in the State of Arizona. The Board shall consider any violation of this paragraph to be a separate violation of the rules and statues governing the Arizona Board of Technical Registration. The Board may also consider Respondent's non-compliance with this Order as a separate violation of A.R.S. § 32-150.

6. **RENEWAL OF REGISTRATION.** During the probationary period, Respondent shall timely renew his Arizona registration as an Engineer, and timely pay all required registration fees.

EFFECTIVE DATE. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the 7. date the Respondent and Board sign the Consent Agreement. If the dates are different, the effective date is the later of the two dates.

8. COSTS OF COMPLIANCE. Respondent shall pay all costs associated with complying with this Consent Agreement.

9. NONCOMPLIANCE. If Respondent violates this Order in any way or fails to fulfill the requirements of this Order, the Board, after giving notice and the opportunity

28

1

4

to be heard, may revoke, suspend or take other disciplinary actions against the registration. The issue at such a hearing will be limited solely to whether this Order has been violated. ACCEPTED and ORDERED this 16 day of 10000000 , 2023. Jack Gilmore, L.A., Chairman Arizona State Board of **Technical Registration** Consent Agreement and Order, No. P22-055 accepted this \_\_\_\_\_day of February ,2023. m Nathan Layton, Respondent I have executed this document. NEL 

1			
2	<b>ORIGINAL</b> filed this <u>27</u> day of		
3	February , 2023, with:		
4	Arizona State Board of Technical Registration		
5	1110 W. Washington, Suite 240 Phoenix, AZ 85007		
6			
7	<b>COPY</b> of the foregoing mailed via Certified Mail No9214 8901 9434 4600 0913 10 and		
8	First Class mail this $27$ day of February, 2023, to:		
9	Nathan Layton		
10	1490 S. Price Rd.		
11	Suite 215 Chandler, AZ 85286		
12			
13			
14	By. Daniel Carthel		
15	By: Daniel Carthel Daniel Carthel		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	9		