27

1

BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION

In the Matter of:

JOSE ORTEGA-LOPEZ

Non-Registrant;

TIFFINY MACLEOD

Non-Registrant;

And

TRINITY SYSTEMS, LLC

Non-Registrant Alarm Business,

Respondents.

Arizona Office of

Administrative Hearings

Docket No.: 22F-AL22-006-BTR

Board of Technical Registration

Case No.: AL22-006

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER OF DISCIPLINE/ DISMISSAL OF RESPONDENT TIFFANY MACLEOD

In the interest of a prompt and judicious resolution of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements, and the responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101 et seq., and A.A.C. R4-30-120(G), Jose Ortega-Lopez ("Respondent"), Non-Registrant, Trinity Systems, LLC ("Respondent Firm"), Non-Registrant Alarm Business, and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

- 1. The Board has not conducted a hearing or made a determination on the merits contained herein. Instead, the Board and Respondents have agreed to a full and final settlement of this matter, as reflected in this Consent Agreement.
- 2. Pursuant to Arizona's Public Records Law, A.R.S. §§ 39-101, et seq., upon execution by the parties, the Consent Agreement shall constitute a public record that may be disseminated as a formal action of the Board.

- 3. Upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning an original or copy of this document to the Board staff or counsel, Respondents agree and acknowledges they may not revoke acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.
- 4. Respondents have read and understand this Consent Agreement and have had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or have waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.
- 5. Respondent understands that they have a right to a public administrative hearing concerning this case, and that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waive the right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 6. Respondents understand that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board.
- Respondents acknowledge and agree that the acceptance of this Consent Agreement is to settle Board case number AL22-006. This settlement will solely settle this case, and does not preclude the Board from instituting any other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future. Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondents, including but not limited

3. Respondent Firm has never been registered with the Board.

to violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondents acknowledge that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondents.

- 8. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective only when accepted by the Board and signed on behalf of the Board. If the Board does not accept this Consent Agreement, the Board retains its authority to hold a formal administrative hearing. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondents shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.
- 9. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 10. This Consent Agreement is based upon the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the state agency authorized pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq, to regulate the profession of alarm agent and of alarm businesses in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Prior to November 29, 2017, Respondent held Alarm Agent License No. 56578, issued by the Board. This license expired on November 29, 2017 and, on or about December 10, 2019, was canceled.

- 4. Respondent Firm is a Manager-Managed Limited Liability Company organized and active in good standing with the Arizona Corporation Commission, originally incorporated by Respondent on April 17, 2018, and for which he is statutory agent.
- 5. On or about February 10, 2022, Board staff received a complaint alleging the unlicensed practice of a board regulated profession by Respondent and Respondent Firm.
- 6. Materials provided by the Complainant included text messages between the Complainant and a manager of a former business client indicating the former business client, located in AZ, switched alarm monitoring from Complainant to Respondent and Respondent Firm.
- 7. Board staff subsequently discovered a Facebook page for Respondent Firm, a self-described "locally owned security company" that "[installs] security systems and cameras and service" and "offer 24/7 monitoring..." On November 15, 2020, the Facebook page indicated a "successful ring camera install" in Phoenix, AZ.
- 8. Board investigator Philip Cardon ("Cardon") contacted Respondent on March 23, 2022.
- 9. In the course of a subsequent email exchange on March 23, 2022, Respondent confirmed advertising Respondent Firm as a monitoring service.
- 10. In the course of the investigation, Respondent removed the Facebook page for Respondent Firm.
- 20 11. On April 6, 2022, Cardon emailed Respondent requesting documentation confirming the business is no longer active or promoting services.
 - 12. Respondent emailed Cardon on April 7, 2022 requesting until April 13, 2022, to provide evidence to "make sure no more promoting services are all gone (sic)".
 - 13. Respondent failed to provide such evidence.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

14. The Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq.

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline 15. pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-106.02(A) ["...offering to practice or by implication purporting to be qualified to practice any board regulated profession or occupation."] through A.R.S. § 32-121 ["...a person or firm desiring to practice any board-regulated profession or occupation shall first secure a certificate or registration and shall comply with all the conditions prescribed in this chapter."] and A.R.S. § 32-145(1) ["...practices, offers to practice or by any implication holds himself out as qualified to practice any board regulated profession or occupation if the person is not registered or certified as provided by this chapter."

ORDER

Based upon the aforementioned Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board hereby issues the following Order:

ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY

Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, 1. Respondent shall pay a civil penalty of Four Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$450.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration.

FEES AND COSTS

Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, 2. Respondent shall pay the cost of investigation of this case to the Board in the amount of Nine Hundred and Forty Dollars (\$940.00) by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Arizona Board of Technical Registration.

DISMISSAL OF RESPONDENT TIFFINY MACLEOD

As of the effective date of this Order, Respondent Tiffiny Macleod shall be dismissed from Arizona Office of Administrative Hearings Docket No. 22F-AL22-006-

BTR, Board Case No. AL22-006.

EFFECTIVE DATE

4. This Order is effective upon the acceptance by the parties of the Consent Agreement as evidenced by the respective signatures. The effective date of this Order is the date the Consent Agreement is signed by the parties. If the Agreement is signed by different parties on different dates, the later date shall be the effective date. The parties may execute the Agreement in counterparts, and the parties understand and agree that copies, including facsimile or e-mail signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as originals.

NON-COMPLIANCE

5. The failure of Respondent to complete or comply with any of the requirements or provisions of this Order, including the General Provisions, shall be deemed a violation of this Order. Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32.106.01, .02, the Board may combine an action to enforce an order issued under A.R.S § 32.106.02 with a Petition for Injunction.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 6. Respondent is responsible for all costs associated with complying with this Order.
- 7. Respondent is solely responsible for ensuring they understand and comply with all the terms and conditions of this Order.
- 8. Except as otherwise specified in this Order, each party agrees to pay its own attorney's and expert's fees and costs.

Dated this Zday of July 2023.

Jack Gilmore, L.A

Chairman

Arizona State Board of Technical Registration

l	Consent Agreement and Order, Board Case No. AL22-006 accepted thisday of, 2023.
2	
3	
4	Jose Ortega-Lopez, on behalf of himself
5	and on behalf of Trinity Systems, LLC
6	
7	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed June 27th 2023 with:
8	Arizona State Board of Technical Registration
9	1110 W. Washington Street
10	Suite 240 Phoenix, AZ 85007
	COPY of the foregoing
12	mailed by Certified Mail No. 9214 8901 9434 4600 0928 29
13	June 27^m , 2023, to:
14	Jose Ortega-Lopez
15	Manager Trinity Systems LLC
16	1121 N. 44 th Street
17	Unit 1035 Phoenix, AZ 85008
18	Tiffany Macleod
19	Manager
20	Trinity Systems LLC 1121 N. 44 th Street
21	Unit 1035
22	Phoenix, AZ 85008
23	Jose Ortega-Lopez
24	Statutory Agent Trinity Systems LLC
25	1121 N. 44 th Street
26	Unit 1035 Phoenix, AZ 85008
27	\$11283067
1	