1 2	Kristin K. Mayes Attorney General Firm State Bar No. 14000		
3	Good Donald		
4	Scott Donald Assistant Attorney General		
5	State Bar Number 022419 Licensing and Enforcement Section		
6	2005 N. Central Avenue		
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: (602) 542-7988		
8	Fax: (602) 364-3202		
9	Email: Scott.Donald@azag.gov		
10	Attorneys for the Arizona Board of Technical Registration		
11	BEFORE THE		
12	ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS		
13	In the Matter of:	Docket No.: 23F-AL23-009-BTR	
14	in the matter of.	BTR Case No.: AL23-009	
15	DANIEL GOULD Controlling Person	CONSENT AGREEMENT AND	
16	Certification No. 66272;	ORDER	
17	and		
18	PROLINK PROTECTION, LLC		
19	Alarm Business No. 21010 (expired);		
20	Respondents.		
21		organization of the above continued matter	
22	In the interest of a prompt and judicious resolution of the above-captioned matter		
23	before the Arizona State Board of Technical Registration ("Board") and consistent with		
24	the public interest, statutory requirements, and the responsibilities of the Board, and		
25	pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101 et seq., and A.A.C. R4-30-101 et seq., Daniel Gould ("Respondent"), Prolink Protection, LLC ("Respondent Firm"), and the Board enter into		
26	(Respondent), Fromik Protection, LLC ("I	Respondent Firm), and the Board enter into	

the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

- 1. The Board has not conducted a hearing or made a determination on the merits contained herein. Instead, the Board and Respondents have agreed to a full and final settlement of this matter, as reflected in this Consent Agreement.
- 2. Pursuant to Arizona's Public Records Law, A.R.S. §§ 39-101, et seq., upon execution by the parties, the Consent Agreement shall constitute a public record that may be disseminated as a formal action of the Board.
- 3. Upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning an original or copy of this document to the Board staff or counsel, Respondents agree and acknowledge they may not revoke acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.
- 4. Respondents have read and understand this Consent Agreement and have had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or have waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.
- 5. Respondents understand that they have a right to a public administrative hearing concerning this case, and that at such formal hearing could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondents knowingly, voluntarily, and irrevocably waive the right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 6. Respondents understand that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board.

- Respondents acknowledge and agree that the acceptance of this Consent Agreement is to settle Board case no. AL23-009. This settlement will solely settle this case, and does not preclude the Board from instituting any other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future. Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondents, including but not limited to violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondents acknowledge that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondents.
- 8. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective only when accepted by the Board and signed on behalf of the Board. If the Board does not accept this Consent Agreement, the Board retains its authority to hold a formal administrative hearing. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondents shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.
- 9. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

10. This Consent Agreement is based upon the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the state agency authorized pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq, to regulate through certification the role of a controlling person in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent holds Controlling Person License No. 66272, issued by the Board.
- 3. Prior to February 22, 2021, Respondent Firm held Alarm Business Registration No. 61010, issued by the Board. This registration expired on February 22, 2021.
- 4. Respondent Firm is Member Managed Limited Liability Company organized by Gould with the Arizona Corporation Commission on January 22, 2014. Respondent is sole member of and statutory agent for Respondent Firm.
- 5. On or about April 1, 2022, the Board received a complaint that Respondent and Respondent Firm advertised alarm sales and monitoring while Respondent Firm's registration was expired.
- 6. Subsequently Respondent, acting on behalf of himself as well as on behalf of Respondent Firm, entered into a consent agreement in Board case file AL 22-007 ("Agreement") for resolution of the matter. This Agreement became effective on October 31, 2022, following acceptance by the Board. Terms of the Agreement included the requirement that Respondents pay to the Board:
 - a. an administrative penalty of \$450.00, to be paid within 60 days of the effective date of the Agreement; and
 - b. costs of investigation incurred by the Board in the amount of \$140.00, to be paid within 30 days of the effective date of the Agreement.
- 7. Respondents failed to make any payments due under the Agreement by December 31, 2022, and have not made any payments to date as of the filing of this Complaint.

__

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 8. The Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-101, et seq.
- 9. The conduct alleged in the Findings of Fact constitutes grounds for discipline pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-128(C)(4) ["[v]iolation of this chapter or board rules"] through A.R.S. § 32-150 ["[f]ailure to comply with any final order of the Board...."]

ORDER

Based on the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board issues the following Order:

VOLUNTARY SURRENDER

1. As of the Effective Date of this Order, Respondent's Arizona Controlling Person Certification No. 66272 is voluntarily surrendered.

EFFECTIVE DATE

2. This Order is effective upon the acceptance by the parties of the Consent Agreement as evidenced by the respective signatures. The effective date of this Order is the date the Consent Agreement is signed by the parties. If the Agreement is signed by different parties on different dates, the later date shall be the effective date. The parties may execute the Agreement in counterparts, and the parties understand and agree that copies, including facsimile or e-mail signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as originals.

NON-COMPLIANCE

3. The failure of Respondent to complete or comply with any of the requirements or provisions of this Order, including the General Provisions, shall be deemed a violation of this Order. Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32.106.01, .02, the Board may combine an action to enforce an order issued under A.R.S § 32.106.02 with a Petition for Injunction.

ì

ব

6 7

8

43

Ė

]]]2

13

Ц

15

lδ

]7

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

15

26 27

GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Respondents are responsible for all costs associated with complying with this Order.
- 5. Respondents are solely responsible for ensuring they understand and comply with all the terms and conditions of this Order.
- Except as otherwise specified in this Order, each party agrees to pay its own attorney's and expert's fees and costs.

Dated this ZL day of AGE, 2023.

Jack Gilmore, L.A.

Chairjonn

Arizotta State Board of Technical Registration

Consent Agreement and Order, Board Case No. AL23-009, accepted this <u>کن '</u>day of <u>September</u>, 2023.

Daniel Could

on behalf of himself and Prolink Protection, LLC

1	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed September 27, 2023, with:		
2			
3	Judith Stapley The Arizona State Board of Technical Registration		
4	1110 W. Washington Street Suite 240		
5	Phoenix, AZ 85007		
6	COPY of the foregoing		
7	mailed by Certified Mail No. 9214 8901 9434 4600 0942 74 September 27th, 2023, to:		
8			
9	Daniel Gould		
10	In propia persona and as Statutory Agent		
11	Prolink Protection, LLC 4077 East Claxton Ave.		
12	Gilbert, AZ 85297		
13	By: Karlyn Camford		
14	#11500561		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			